

## **REMARKS**

In response to the Office Action dated August 10, 2006. Claims 1 and 10 have been canceled without prejudice to allow the remaining claims to proceed to allowance. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 11-13, and 15-17 have been amended. Claims 5, 9, 14, and 18 remain unchanged. Claims 2-9, and 11-18 remain pending in this application.

In compliance with 35 C.F.R. 116 (b)(1), all amendments herein are being made to either cancel claims or comply with any requirement of form expressly set forth in a previous Office action.

### **Allowable Claims**

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the Examiner's indication that independent claims 9 and 18 include allowable subject matter.

### **Rejection of Claims 1-8 and 10-17 under 35 U.S.C. §112 first paragraph:**

The rejection of claims 1-8 and 10-17 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph is moot in view of the cancellation of claims 1 and 10 which contained the rejected limitations. Claims 2-8 and 11-17 have been amended to depend on allowable claims 9 and 18 respectively, and do not contain the rejected limitations.

### **Rejection of Claims 1-8 and 10-17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chuah et al. (US 2002/0131370)**

The rejection of the claims 1 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chuah et al is moot in view of the cancellation of claims 1 and 10.

Applicant requests reconsideration of the rejection of claims 2-8, and 11-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chuah et al as herein amended. Claims 2-8 and 11-17 have been amended to depend upon allowable claims 9 and 18 respectively. Applicant submits that claims 2-8 and 11-17 are therefore allowable over Chuah et al based on their dependencies upon allowable claims 9 and 18. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submit that

claims 2 through to 8 and claims 11 through to 17 are in proper condition for allowance and request that claims 2 through to 8 and claims 11 through to 17 may now be passed to allowance.

For the foregoing reasons, applicants respectfully request that the above rejections be withdrawn.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account 502117, Motorola, Inc, with any fees which may be required in the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

February 16, 2007

Motorola, Inc.  
8000 West Sunrise Boulevard  
Law Department – MD1610  
Plantation, Florida 33322  
Customer Number: 24273

By: /Randi L. Karpinia/  
Randi L. Karpinia  
Attorney of Record  
Reg. No.: 46,148  
Tel: 954-723-6449  
Fax: 954-723-3871  
E-Mail: [docketing.florida@motorola.com](mailto:docketing.florida@motorola.com)